

Re: Fw: 2 more silly questions

Katharine Lima to: Myers.Robert, Forrest.Sabrina

08/31/2011 05:14 PM

From: Katharine Lima <kncj@sbcglobal.net>

To:

Cc: William A Chantry <wchantry@csc.com>, Barry_Hayhurst@urscorp.com

Sorry for the delay; I had to be out of the office for a while.

My two cents' worth is that Upper Cement Creek certainly seems to reflect the site as discussed to date. As far as lat/long, our standard recommendation is to select an identifiable point within the "site" as defined for HRS purposes. We have in the past recommended choosing a sample location, in the absence of a fixed feature like a building corner, so, in keeping with Bob's email, a centrally located sediment sample in the creek could be a good representation. Or it could be approached like 2 recent Region 2 sites that were listed as contaminated sediments with no identified source. For those, the reference points chosen were at the heads of the water bodies, at a defined point of contamination. But those sites were characterized somewhat differently.

Thanks.

Katharine Lima

Environmental Scientist

CSC

2119 South Fourth Street

Springfield, Illinois 62703

Science, Engineering and Mission Support

| p: 217.525.8756 | kncj@sbcglobal.net | www.csc.com

This is a PRIVATE message. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete without copying and kindly advise us by e-mail of the mistake in delivery.

NOTE: Regardless of content, this e-mail shall not operate to bind CSC to any order or other contract unless pursuant to explicit written agreement or government initiative expressly permitting the use of e-mail for such purpose. ?

--- On **Wed, 8/31/11**, **Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov** <**Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov**> wrote:

From: Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov <Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Fw: 2 more silly questions
To: Myers.Robert@epamail.epa.gov
Cc: kncj@sbcglobal.net, "William A Chantry" <wchantry@csc.com>,

Barry_Hayhurst@urscorp.com

Date: Wednesday, August 31, 2011, 3:34 PM

Great input - thanks! Will see what CSC adds.

Sincerely,

Sabrina Forrest

Site Assessment Manager

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1595 Wynkoop Street, Mail Code: 8EPR-B

Denver, CO 80202-1129

Direct Ph: 303-312-6484

Toll Free: 1 800-227-8917, 312-6484

Fax: 303-312-6065

Agency Cell: 303-589-1286

E-mail: forrest.sabrina@epa.gov

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you have received this document in error and any review, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, use, or copying of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.

From: Robert Myers/DC/USEPA/US

To: Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: kncj@sbcglobal.net, William A Chantry <wchantry@csc.com>

Date: 08/31/2011 02:19 PM

Subject: Re: Fw: 2 more silly questions

My thoughts, and CSC should weigh in if I'm in error.

The name can't be too long or else we have trouble getting everything in CERCLIS and the FR notice. Upper Cement Creek is ok; it's mostly what the community wants to call it and what accurately reflects the site so people know what is being referred to.

For lat-long, it's usually the center of the source of contamination, but that's hard for cases like this where there are a number of sources.

Maybe have the lat long at the center of the geographic area that represents the site, or the center of what you view as the most important source. I don't think the lat long should limit you, as long as the HRS package identifies what release you are talking about, and you indicate what you think (at this time) about how extensive it is.

We always say the site is where the release has come to be located and the site expands or contracts based on future study.

From: Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US

To: kncj@sbcglobal.net, Robert Myers/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: William A Chantry <wchantry@csc.com>

Date: 08/31/2011 03:49 PM

Subject: Fw: 2 more silly questions

Hi Katharine and Bob, What do you think about calling the site Upper Cement Creek? Regarding the site lat/long, I would like your input too. I don't want that to somehow limit us.

Sincerely,

Sabrina Forrest

Site Assessment Manager

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1595 Wynkoop Street, Mail Code: 8EPR-B

Denver, CO 80202-1129

Direct Ph: 303-312-6484

Toll Free: 1 800-227-8917, 312-6484

Fax: 303-312-6065

Agency Cell: 303-589-1286

E-mail: forrest.sabrina@epa.gov

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you have received this document in error and any review, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, use, or copying of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.

----- Forwarded by Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US on 08/31/2011 01:43 PM

From: Barry_Hayhurst@URSCorp.com
To: Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 08/31/2011 12:36 PM
Subject: 2 more silly questions

Two more silly, but basic questions.

Site Name: Do you want to call this package the Upper Animas Mining District or Cement Creek in the Upper Animas Mining District or another name? I know Gwen changed the name of AMC a couple of times (partially on directions from HQ?).

Site Location: What do we want to use as the central point of the site for the latitude/longitude of the site location? A specific mine, a specific confluence, a specific PPE? This changed several times for AMC so I will wait for a decision. This is important when we make maps, etc.

This e-mail and any attachments contain URS Corporation confidential information that may be proprietary or privileged. If you receive this message in error or are not the intended recipient, you should not

retain, distribute, disclose or use any of this
information and you should destroy the e-mail and any attachments or copies.